



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,950	01/09/2004	Chiang Sun Cheah	MICR-306US	1451
68735	7590	06/02/2009		
RATNERPRESTIA			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 980			BENNETT, JENNIFER D	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482				
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2878		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		06/02/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/754,950

Applicant(s)

CHEAH ET AL.

Examiner

JENNIFER BENNETT

Art Unit

2878

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JENNIFER BENNETT.

(3) _____.

(2) JACK JANKOVITZ.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mengel et al. (US 6373557).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney called to discuss claims 1 and 12 in regard to the Mengel reference. Applicant faxed over proposed amendments. After reviewing the proposed amendments and arguments the Examiner proposed a way to amend the claims in such a way as to overcome the Mengel reference. Applicant agreed with the suggested limitation proposed by the Examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Que T. Le/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2878